

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/749,136	12/27/2000	Andreas Burgard	00/141 NUT	5012
7.	590 07/08/2002			
PROPAT, L.L.C.			EXAMINER	
2912 CROSBY ROAD CHARLOTTE, NC 28211			WONG, LESLIE A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	/
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2002	Ю

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/749,136

Burgard et al.

Examiner

Office Action Summary

Leslie Wong

Art Unit 1761



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE three MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. . If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 22, 2002 2b) X This action is non-final. 2a) This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims is/are pending in the application. 4) X Claim(s) 1-6 4a) Of the above, claim(s) ______ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) X Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 8) Claims **Application Papers** 9). The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on ______ is/are a) ____ accepted or b) ___ objected to by the Examiner. 10). Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11). The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 12) Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13): Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Crted (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 3

Application/Control Number: 09/749136

Art Unit: 1761

Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-6 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. Applicant has canceled claims 7-9 without prejudice to the filing of a divisional application.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakajima (EP 0122400), Ebisawa et al, and Ninomiya et al (GB 1297741) in view of Ledniczky et al (WO 99/04822) and Rayburn (WO 00/12067).

Nakajima discloses a sweetener composition comprising acesulfame K and an amino acid (see entire document).

Ebisawa et al disclose the crystallization of aspartame with amino acids (see entire document).

Ninomiya et al disclose the combination of saccharin and tryptophan (see entire document).

The claims differ as to the specific recitation of a salt.

Ledniczky et al disclose a salt of a sweetener where the salt provides beneficial organoleptic properties (see entire document).

Rayburn discloses a salt of saccharin for improved organoleptic properties (see entire

Application/Control Number: 09/749136 Page 3

Art Unit: 1761

document).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to produce a salt of any of the components of Nakajima, Ebisawa et al, and Ninomiya et al as taught by Ledniczky et al and Rayburn because the preparation of a salt of an intense sweetener improves the organoleptic properties of the sweetener.

All of the claim limitations have been considered. None of them are seen as serving as basis for patentability.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is (703) 308-1979. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Leslie Wong Primary Examiner

Lesieway

Art Unit 1761

LAW July 2, 2002